## Kolak, Shari

From:

Kolak, Shari

Sent:

Monday, February 10, 2014 2:50 PM

To: Subject: Kolak, Shari fyr MDEQ issues



Wally,

Your October 29, 2013 letter identifies the following issues and recommendations to be included in the second FYR for West KL . However, some of the issues are already addressed thru the KLA Group's recent investigations. Can you please confirm

- The full extent of the groundwater contamination northwest of the landfill is unknown.

  MDEQ's recommendation was to further investigate the leading edge (west of 1<sup>st</sup> street) to determine full extent of contamination. Wasn't this done by conducting the additional hydrogeolgic investigations in
- 2. The plume appears to be expanding to the northwest of the site.

The following are issues and recommendations that should be identified in the upcoming 5YR Report:

## Issues:

- The full extent of the groundwater contamination northwest of the landfill is unknown.
- The plume appears to be expanding to the northwest of the site.
- Additional sentinel wells are needed northwest of the landfill to provide early warning of potentially vulnerable private water supply wells.
- MNA, as the sole groundwater remedy, is not meeting expectations at this site. There is an apparent expansion of groundwater contaminants not amenable to biodegradation (1,4-Dioxane and Tetrahydrofuran [THF]).
- MINA, as the sole groundwater remedy, is not meeting expectations at this site. There is an apparent expansion of groundwater contaminants not amenable to biodegradation (1,4-Dioxane and Tetrahydrofuran [THF]).
- An evaluation of effectiveness of MNA should be conducted. The PRPs should provide an annual evaluation of contaminant trends at the landfill boundary. If necessary, develop a Contingent Remedial Action Plan to have in place in the event of remedy failure.

The KLA Group evaluated MNA in the December 10, 2010 "Groundwater Performance Monitoring Report, Initial 5-Year Evaluation of MNA Remedial Action Activities"

We agree with MDEQ that a Contingent Remedies should be evaluated

 The KL Landfill Group should prepare a plan to test for methane in soil gas at nearby residences on a recurring basis, perhaps annually.

According to the January 2014, Semi-annual progress report (July – December 2013), Table C-9 (perimeter gas monitoring probe sampling data) shows that post-2008 (when the passive gas collection system was converted to active gas collection), methane levels have been controlled or eliminated (% CH4 was 0.0) in all perimeter gas probes from 2008 to 2013 except in one occurrence on 7/15/11 where methane reported at 14.9% in GMP-3M; methane at 27/6 % in GMP-5; methane at 41/9 % in GMP-8; and methane at 20.8% in GMP-10, methane at 13.9% at GMP-15; and methane at 0.1 % at GMP-16D. Given this, isn't the above bullet addressed or does MDEQ still feel that soil gas at nearby residences should be tested?

The KL Landfill Group should investigate whether pharmaceuticals have been released to the groundwater from the landfill.

Does MDEQ have cleanup standards for pharmaceuticals?

Shari Kolak
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA, Region 5
77 W. Jackson
Chicago, IL 60604
312-886-6151 wk
kolak.shari@epa.gov